1:18CV68

United States Touthouse 515 Rusk avenue Houston, Jenas 77002

Honorable Judge andrew Haner

RF. anicus Brief or Free Speech Letter Concerning DACA.

1. The United States Supreme Court ruled DACA. is unconstitutional. These are no Flauses in the United States Constitution to support DACA.

Ourticle I Section 8 Clause I Brokilits the Upited States from levying taxes for immigrants. The Constitution Clause only level taxes for before of the United States. Citizens and subjects of Foreign States do not qualify Until they are Naturalized into the Javisduction of the United States-Imendment XIV.

3. Article I, Section 9, gives Migration and Importation of Persons to the States. It requires States to Day a tax to the United States for each Imported Person. The tax amount today is likely over 100 per person. The State must give any serson in its Juridiction Due Brocess in Amendment XIV, Clause 3, the last 31 words that say no State can deprive any person of life or liberty without Due Brocess of Law and Equal Protection with others in the same situation.

.01

4. Amendment II limits filings in Federal Tourts for cases that Supports Immigrants. It says "Citizen and Subjects of Foreign States are limited to Lederal Court Proceedives." Recently the United States Supreme Tourt ruled that "Foreign Prationals and Immigrants have NO constitutional Due Process to Asylum, Amnesty Hearings on Immigration Whatsoever in Federal District Court"

Jump and all Sutere President ruling that President Trump and all Sutere Presidents can fire Federal Vistrict Judges. The recent decision by the 9th Circuit are examples of Sederal Judges who should be fired for the lack of Constitutional acumen. That is why it lis so important for Presidents to be Constitutionally savry as Honorable Judge andrew Hanen is. All 5 of my cases against Barask Obama and Jongress were Uphelth by the United States Supreme Court When Judge andrew Hanen Started the Constitutional rulings that Jook Down Obama in a 4/4 Split.

5. Recently, several Companies were ordered to pay foreign workers settlements after they argued the 1866 Tivil Rights let that was basically drafted for black citizens who were deried certain Civil Rights. I federal District judge who lacked Tonstitutional Ocumen, allowed that 1866 Civil Rights ruling to be used by Fareign Workers who are not citizens being deprived of civil rights and also under the jurns distrong of a Foreign State, therefore not under U.S., Jurisdictions

6. It appears that the ruling of the United States Supreme Court that determined that Foreign Nationals and Ammigrants have no Due Process the United States Courts is meant to Return Immigration back to State Due Process as demanded in Amendment XII, Clause 3, the last 31 words, (Section I)

7. In fact the federal Civil Cover Sheet Form

I. In fact the federal Civel Cover Sheet Form
has omitted and Deleted Immigration from the form
JS044 and Replaced it with II: Basis of
purisdiction. It was replaced with Diversity,
Munber 4 of Basis of puridiction. It instructs to
indicate the citizenship of the parties. Since
immigration has been stricken from the JS044
form, Immigration cases must return to State
purisduction in State bourts where States set up
their own DACA program for their own State with
their own Welfare septent to support DACA in
Amendment XIV Section I, Clouse 3, the last 31 words

8. New Federal Forms should be drafted for Remanding immigrant cases to State Courts. The Elerk should not accept anymore immigrant cases in faderal Tourt and judges should remand all immigration case forthwith, STAT to State Court or their rulings are void at the inception: Elect Piersol, In Re: Sawyer, U.S. V Will and Cohen V Virginia.

C Respectfully Ehristine Timmon August 11, 2018

Dent J. Braney Clerk et Court 4500 0095%20074 NETROPLEX MI 480 13 MING 2018 FIN 10 L 77002 Godge Undrew Christine Thistopy where the think the triple of the property of the control of t